

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/775,652	BIDNUR ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MEHDI RASHIDIAN	2624	

All Participants:

(1) MEHDI RASHIDIAN

(2) Mirut Datal

Date of Interview: 29 December 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) Samir Ahmed

(4) _____

Time: 4:30 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Yes

Claims discussed:

8 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

No

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During telephonic interview with attorney of record Mr. Mirut Datal (reg. No. 44052) claims 8 and 14 were discussed in order to overcome the art rejection and clearly identify inventive idea as claim 1 cites, attorney agreed with examiner's suggestions and authorized examiner to enter an examiner's amendment in order to expedite prosecution.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mehdi Rashidian/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)